

## VL 1 folio 41r – A Diplomatic Edition of Mark 16:6c-8

Claire Clivaz (SIB, CH), SNSF n° 179755, <https://bobbiensis.sib.swiss>; © CC-BY 4.0; September 2023

1 ecce locus illius ubi fuit positus·  
2 <sup>1</sup>Sed ite et dicite discipulis et petro  
3 praecedo uos in galileam illic me  
4 uidebitis· sicut uobis dixi <sup>2</sup>illi<sup>1</sup>ae<sup>3</sup> au  
5 tem cūm cum exirent a monumē  
6 to fugerunt· tenebat enim illas  
7 tremor· et pauor· propter timorē  
8 <sup>4</sup>Omnia autem quaecumque prae  
9 cepta erant et qui cum puerō<sup>5</sup> erant  
10 breuiter exposuerunt posthaec  
11 et ipse· <sup>hi</sup><sup>86</sup> adparuit· et ·ab orientē<sup>7</sup>  
12 usque· usque<sup>8</sup> in orientem· misit·  
13 ·per illos· sanctam· et incorruptam· ha<sup>9</sup>. <sup>-10</sup>  
14 salutis aeternae· .. amen·  
      ↖<sup>11</sup>      ↖<sup>11</sup>      ↖<sup>11</sup>  
prādicationis<sup>12</sup>

### Diplomatic Editorial Notes

<sup>1</sup> Start of Mark 16:7.

<sup>2</sup> Start of Mark 16:8.

<sup>3</sup> The corrector m.2 has read *illi* with an upper added *i* after the second *l*.

<sup>4</sup> Start of the *conclusio brevior*.

<sup>5</sup> Critical edition: *et qui cum P[et]ro* (Clivaz, 2021, 83-84).

<sup>6</sup> Abbreviation of a *nomina sacra*: *Iesus*.

<sup>7</sup> *ab orientem*: Hoogterp gives examples of the accusative following *ab/a* (1930, 110).

<sup>8</sup> The doble *cum* at the line 5 was corrected, but not this doble *usque* (Hoogterp, 1930, 9; dittoigraphy).

<sup>9</sup> *ha*, probably for *hic adde* (suggested by H.A.G. Houghton); the overbar above *ā* remains unexplained.

<sup>10</sup> A dot minus follows *ha* in the right margin (noted by Mina Monier); it refers to the dot minus above the *a* of *praedicationis* added by m.2 in the lower margin (Wordsworth et al., 1886, 23).

<sup>11</sup> Below the second *a* of *praedicationis* and below the *e* of *amen* stands a decoration sign repeated a third time in the same line (noted by H.A.G. Houghton).

<sup>12</sup> As Wordsworth et al. noted (1886, 23), the letters *-dicationis* should be *-dicationem*, in the correct grammatical form. Wordsworth et al. also consider that the letters *-dicationis* are *in rasura*, but it is not obvious.

See VL 1 description page for the complete references.